

SU(2) Charges as Angular-momentum in $N = 1$ Self-dual Supergravity *

Sze-Shiang Feng^{¶§#}, Zi-Xing Wang[†], Xi-Jun Qiu[¶]

[§] CCAST(World Lab.), P.O. Box. 8730, Beijing 100080

[¶] Physics Department, Shanghai University, 201800, Shanghai, China[#]

e-mail:xjqiu@fudan.ac.cn[#]

[†] Institute of Nuclear Research, Academia Sinica, 201800, Shanghai, China

[§] Center for String Theory, Shanghai Teacher's University, 200234, Shanghai, China

Abstract

The $N = 1$ self-dual supergravity has $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ symmetry. This symmetry results in $SU(2)$ charges as the angular-momentum. As in the non-supersymmetric self-dual gravity, the currents are also of their potentials and are therefore identically conserved. The charges are generally invariant and gauge covariant under local $SU(2)$ transforms approaching to be rigid at spatial infinity. The Poisson brackets constitute $su(2)$ algebra and hence can be interpreted as the generally covariant conservative angular-momentum.

PACS number(s): 11.30.Cp, 11.30.Pb, 04.20.Me, 04.65.+e.

Key words: $SU(2)$ charge, angular-momentum, supergravity

The study of self-dual gravities has drawn much attention in the past decade since the discovery of Ashtekar's new variables, in terms of which the constraints can be greatly

[#] Corresponding author and adress

*Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China

simplified[1]-[2]. The new phase variables consist of densitized $SU(2)$ soldering forms $\tilde{e}^i{}_A{}^B$ from which a metric density is obtained according to the definition $q_{ij} = -\text{Tr}\tilde{e}_i\tilde{e}_j$, and a complexified connection $A_{iA}{}^B$ which carries the momentum dependence in its imaginary part. The original Ashtekar's self-dual canonical gravity permits also a Lagrangian formulation[3] [4]. The supersymmetric extension of this Lagrangian formulation, which is equivalent to the simple real supergravity, was proposed by Jacobson[5], and the corresponding Ashtekar complex canonical transform was given by Gorobey et al[6]. The Lagrangian density is[5]

$$\mathcal{L}_J = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{AA'} \wedge e_{BA'} \wedge F_A{}^B + ie^{AA'} \wedge \bar{\psi}_{A'} \wedge \mathcal{D}\psi_A) \quad (1)$$

The dynamical variables are the real tetrad $e^{AA'}$ (the "real" means $\bar{e}^{A'A} = e^{AA'}$), the traceless left-handed $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection $A_{\mu MN}$ and the complex anticommuting spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ gravitino field $\psi_{\mu A}$. The $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ covariant exterior derivative is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}\psi_M := d\psi_M + A_M{}^N \wedge \psi_N \quad (2)$$

and the curvature 2-form is

$$F_M{}^N := dA_M{}^N + A_M{}^P \wedge A_P{}^N \quad (3)$$

The indices are lowered and raised with the antisymmetric $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ spinor ϵ^{AB} and its inverse ϵ_{AB} according to the convention $\lambda_B = \lambda^A \epsilon_{AB}$, $\lambda^A = \epsilon^{AB} \lambda_B$, and the implied summations are always in north-westerly fashion: from the left-upper to the right-lower. The Lagrangian eq.(1) is a holomorphic functions of the connection and the equation for $A_{\mu A}{}^B$ is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D}e^{AA'} = \frac{i}{2}\psi^A \wedge \bar{\psi}^{A'} \quad (4)$$

provided $e^{AA'}$ is real. The Lagrangian $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_J + \bar{\mathcal{L}}_J)$ for real supergravity is a non-holomorphic function but leads to no surfeit of field equations. Under the left-handed local supersymmetric transform generated by anticommuting parametres ϵ_A

$$\delta\psi_A = 2\mathcal{D}\epsilon_A, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_{A'} = 0, \quad \delta e_{AA'} = -i\bar{\psi}_{A'}\epsilon_A \quad (5)$$

the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_J$ is invariant *without* using any one of the Euler-Lagrangian equations while under the right-handed transform

$$\delta\psi_A = 0, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}_{A'} = 2\mathcal{D}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'}, \quad \delta e_{AA'} = -i\psi_A\bar{\epsilon}_{A'} \quad (6)$$

$\mathcal{L}_J$ is invariant *modulo* the field equations.

The (3+1) decomposition is effected as

$$\mathcal{L}_J = \tilde{e}^{kAB} \dot{A}_{kAB} + \tilde{\pi}^{kA} \dot{\psi}_{kA} - \mathcal{H} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{H} := e_{0AA'} \mathcal{H}^{AA'} + \psi_{0A} \mathcal{S}^A + \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{A'} \bar{\psi}_{0A'} + A_{0AB} \mathcal{J}^{AB} + (\text{total divergence}) \quad (8)$$

The canonical momenta are

$$\tilde{e}^{kAB} := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} e_i^{AA'} e_j^B{}_{A'} \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}^{kA} := \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} e_i^{AA'} \bar{\psi}_{jA'} \quad (10)$$

and the constraints are

$$\mathcal{H}^{AA'} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} (e_i^{BA'} F_{jkB}{}^A - i \bar{\psi}_i^{A'} \mathcal{D}_j \psi_k{}^A) \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{S}^A := \mathcal{D}_k \tilde{\pi}^{kA} \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{A'} := \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} e_i^{AA'} \mathcal{D}_j \psi_{kA} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{AB} := \mathcal{D}_k \tilde{e}^{kAB} - \tilde{\pi}^{k(A} \psi_{k}{}^{B)} \quad (14)$$

The 0-components $e_{0AA'}$, ψ_{0A} , $\bar{\psi}_{0A'}$ and A_{0AB} are just the Lagrange multipliers and the dynamical conjugate pairs are $(\tilde{e}^{kAB}, A_{jAB})$, $(\tilde{\pi}^{kA}, \psi_{kA})$. The constraints $\mathcal{H}^{AA'} = 0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{A'} = 0$ generate the following two

$$\ddot{\mathcal{H}}^{AB} := (\tilde{e}^j \tilde{e}^k F_{jk})^{AB} + 2 \tilde{\pi}^j \tilde{e}^k \mathcal{D}_{[j} \psi_{k]} \epsilon^{AB} + 2 (\tilde{\pi}^j \mathcal{D}_{[j} \psi_{k]}) \tilde{e}^{kAB} = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{\dagger A} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{e}_i{}^{AB} \mathcal{D}_j \psi_{kB} = 0 \quad (16)$$

The equations of motion will be properly expressed in Hamiltonian form $\dot{f} = \{H, f\}$ if we assign the Poisson brackets

$$\{\tilde{e}^{kAB}(x), A_{jAB}(y)\} = \delta_j{}^k \delta_{(M}{}^A \delta_{N)}{}^B \delta^3(x, y) \quad (17)$$

$$\{\tilde{\pi}^{kA}(x), \psi_{jA}(y)\} = -\delta_j{}^k \delta_M{}^A \delta^3(x, y) \quad (18)$$

all other brackets among these quantities being zero. This is the outline of the theory.

In our previous works, we have obtained the $SU(2)$ charges and the energy-momentum

in the Ashtekar's formulation of Einstein gravity[7]-[8] and they are closely related to the angular-momentum[9]-[11] and the energy-momentum [12] in the vierbein formalism of Einstein gravity. The fact that the algebra formed by their Poisson brackets *do* constitute the 3-Poincare algebra on the Cauchy surface supports from another aspect that their definitions are reasonable. Similarly, the study of $SU(2)$ charges in the self-dual supergravity considered is also an interesting subject. In the following, we will employ the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariance to obtain the conservative charges as we did previously[8] Under any $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transform

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mu AA'} &\rightarrow L_A{}^B \bar{R}_{A'}{}^{B'} e_{\mu BB'}, & \psi_A &\rightarrow L_A{}^B \psi_B, & \bar{\psi}_{A'} &\rightarrow \bar{R}_{A'}{}^{B'} \bar{\psi}_{B'} \\ A_{\mu MN} &\rightarrow L_M{}^A A_{\mu A}{}^B (L^{-1})_{BN} + L_M{}^A \partial_\mu (L^{-1})_{AN} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$\mathcal{L}_J$ is invariant. L and $\bar{R}$ may not necessarily related by complex conjugation. Note that $L_{AB} = -(L^{-1})_{BA}$, the transform of A may also be written as

$$A_{\mu MN} \rightarrow L_M{}^A L_N{}^B A_{\mu AB} - L_M{}^A \partial_\mu L_{NA} \quad (20)$$

For infinitesimal transform, $L_A{}^B = \delta_A{}^B + \xi_A{}^B$ where $\xi_{AB} = -\xi_{BA}$ are infinitesimal parametres. Thus we have

$$\delta_\xi A = [\xi, A] - d\xi, \quad \delta\psi = \xi\psi \quad (21)$$

When calculating the variation of the Lagrangian, one must take into consideration of the anticommuting feature of the gravitino field. We write the variation in the way that

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_J = \delta\phi^A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^A} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi^A} \right) \mathcal{L}_J + \partial_\mu (\delta\phi^A \frac{\partial}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi^A} \mathcal{L}_J) \quad (22)$$

where ϕ^A denotes any field involved in the first order Lagrangian. Now both $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^A}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi^A}$ are (anti-)commuting if ϕ^A is (anti-)commuting, and so there is no ordering problem.

The invariance of $\mathcal{L}_J$ under the infinitesimal $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transform is equivalent to the following modulo the field equations

$$\partial_\rho (\delta A_{\sigma A}{}^B \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_J}{\partial\partial_\rho A_{\sigma A}{}^B} + \delta\psi_{\sigma A} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_J}{\partial\partial_\rho\psi_{\sigma A}}) = 0 \quad (23)$$

For constant ξ , we have

$$\partial_\rho \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu{}^{AA'} e_{\nu BA'} [\xi, A_\sigma]_A{}^B + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu{}^{AA'} \bar{\psi}_{\nu A'} (\xi\psi_\sigma)_A \right) = 0 \quad (24)$$

we have therefore the conservation of $SU(2)$ charges

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{j}_{AB}^\mu = 0 \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{j}_{AB}^\rho = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (e_{\mu A}{}^{A'} e_{\nu M A'} A_{\sigma B}{}^M - e_{\mu}{}^{MA'} e_{\nu B A'} A_{\sigma M A} \\ & + \frac{i}{2} e_{\mu A}{}^{A'} \bar{\psi}_{\nu A'} \psi_{\sigma B} + \frac{i}{2} e_{\mu B}{}^{A'} \bar{\psi}_{\nu A'} \psi_{\sigma A}) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Thus

$$J_{AB} = \int_\Sigma \tilde{j}_{AB}^0 d^3x \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{j}_{AB}^0 = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} (e_{i A}{}^{A'} e_{j M A'} A_{k B}{}^M - e_i{}^{MA'} e_{j B A'} A_{k M A} \\ & + \frac{i}{2} e_{i A}{}^{A'} \bar{\psi}_{j A'} \psi_{k B} + \frac{i}{2} e_{i B}{}^{A'} \bar{\psi}_{j A'} \psi_{k A}) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Using eq(9) and eq(10), $\tilde{j}_{AB}^0$ can be written as

$$\tilde{j}_{AB}^0 = [\tilde{e}^k, A_k]_{AB} + \tilde{\pi}_{k(A} \psi_{B)}^k \quad (29)$$

The constraint $\mathcal{J}_{AB} = 0$ guarantees that

$$J_{AB} \approx \int_\Sigma \partial_k \tilde{e}_{AB}^k = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \tilde{e}_{AB}^k ds_i \quad (30)$$

where $ds_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} dx^j \wedge dx^k$. It can also be obtained in the following way. Using the field equation $e^{A'(A} \wedge (\mathcal{D}e^B)_{A'} - \frac{i}{2} \psi^B) \wedge \bar{\psi}_{A'} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon^{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} [e_{\mu A}{}^{A'} (\partial_\sigma e_{\nu B A'} + A_{\sigma B}{}^M e_{\nu M A'} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{\nu A'} \psi_{\sigma B}) \\ & + e_{\mu B}{}^{A'} (\partial_\sigma e_{\nu A A'} + A_{\sigma A}{}^M e_{\nu M A'} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{\nu A'} \psi_{\sigma A})] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

so

$$\tilde{j}_{AB}^\rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_\sigma (e_{\mu A}{}^{A'} e_{\nu B A'}) \quad (32)$$

Using

$$e_{[\mu A}{}^{A'} e_{\nu] B A'} = e_{[\mu A C} e_{\nu] B}{}^C - i\sqrt{2} n_{[\mu} e_{\nu] AB} \quad (33)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{j}_{AB}^0 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k (e_{[i A}{}^{A'} e_{j] B A'}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k (e_{[i A C} e_{j] B}{}^C - i\sqrt{2} n_{[i} e_{j] AB}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k (e_i e_j)_{AB} = \partial_k \tilde{e}_{AB}^k \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which is exactly the same as eq.(30) We can thus have the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{AB}, J_{MN}\} &= \left\{ \int_{\partial\Sigma} \tilde{e}_{AB}^k ds_k, \int_{\Sigma} (\tilde{e}^i{}_M{}^P A_{iPN} + \tilde{e}^i{}_N{}^P A_{iPN}) d^3x \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (J_{MA} \epsilon_{NB} + J_{MB} \epsilon_{NA} + J_{NA} \epsilon_{MB} + J_{MA} \epsilon_{NB}) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now the flat dreibein on Σ is needed in order to find the angular- momentum J_i . To clarify the notions, we use the following conventions: $\mu, \nu, \dots$ denote the 4-dim curved indices and i, j, k , denote the 3-dim curved indices on Σ ; $a, b, c, \dots$ denote the flat 4-dim indices and $l, m, n, \dots$ denote the flat 3-dim indices on Σ . The rigid flat vierbein is denoted as $E_{AA'}^a$ and the rigid flat dreibein is denoted by E_{AB}^m . Then define

$$J_m := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_m^{AB} J_{AB} \quad (36)$$

and using the relation $\epsilon^{mnl} E_m E_n = \sqrt{2} E^l$ we have

$$\{J_m, J_n\} = \epsilon_{mnl} J^l \quad (37)$$

Therefore the $su(2)$ algebra is restored. As in the non-supersymmetric case[8], we can also obtain only the $SU(2)$ charges instead of the whole $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ charges. Yet, the angular-momentum J_{ab} obtained in[9]-[10] is completely contained in J_{MN} since we have from eq(32) that

$$\tilde{j}_{AB}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{j}_{ab}^\rho E^a{}_A{}^{A'} E^b{}_{B A'} \quad (38)$$

where $\tilde{j}_{ab}^\rho$ is the angular -momentum current obtained in [9]-[10].

$$\tilde{j}_{ab}^\rho = \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma (e_{\mu a} e_{\nu b}) \quad (39)$$

and the angular-momentum is

$$J_{ab} = \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{j}_{ab}^0 d^3x \quad (40)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_{MN} &= -\frac{1}{2} J^{ab} E_{[aM}{}^{A'} E_{b]N A'} = -\frac{1}{2} (J^{ij} E_{[iAC} E_{j]}{}^{BC} - i\sqrt{2} J^{0i} n_0 E_{iA}{}^B) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (L_i - iK_i) E_{MN}^i \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $L_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} J^{jk}$ are the spatial rotations and $K_i = J_{0i} = -J^{0i}$ are the Lorentz boosts.

Therefore

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} (L_i - iK_i) \quad (42)$$

Bear in mind that both $\frac{1}{2}(L_i - iK_i)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(L_i + iK_i)$ obey the $su(2)$ algebra[13]. Actually, the boost charges are vanishing as can be seen from eq(30). Thus we can obtain the angular-momentum, in the self-dual simple supergravity once J_{MN} is known.

We make a few remarks finally. The total charges take the same integral form as those in the non-supersymmetric case. Though we can obtain the $SU(2)$ sector of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ charges, the information of the angular-momentum is completely contained in the $SU(2)$ charges. It can be seen from the surface integrals that the angular-momentum is governed by the r^{-2} part of $\tilde{e}^i$. As in [1]-[2], we always assume that the phase space variables are subject to the boundary conditions.

$$e_{AB|\partial\Sigma}^\mu = (1 + \frac{M(\theta, \phi)}{r})^2 e_{AB}^\mu + O(1/r^2), \quad A_{\mu MN|\partial\Sigma} = O(1/r^2) \quad (43)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_A^i = O(1/r), \quad \psi_{\mu A} = O(1/r) \quad (44)$$

where e_{AB}^μ denote the flat $SU(2)$ soldering forms. As a consequence, under the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transforms behaving as

$$L_A{}^B = \Lambda_A{}^B + O(1/r^{1+\epsilon}), \quad (\epsilon > 0) \quad (45)$$

where Λ are rigid transforms The charges transform as

$$J_{MN} \rightarrow \Lambda_M{}^A \Lambda_N{}^B J_{AB}, \quad (46)$$

i.e., they gauge covariant. Their conservation is generally covariant. As in the non-supersymmetric case[7]-[8], the currents have also potentials, i.e., can be expressed as a divergence of an antisymmetric tensor density. So they are identically conserved. Upon quantization, the Poisson brackets correspond to the quantal commutators and their algebra realizes indeed the $su(2)$ algebra. This shows that their interpretations are reasonable.

It is novel that the relation between J_{MN} and the constraint $\mathcal{J}^{AB}$ is the same as that between the electric charge and the Gauss law constraint in QED[14]

$$\nabla \bullet \mathbf{E} - e\bar{\psi}\gamma_0\psi = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$q = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathbf{E} \bullet d\mathbf{S} \quad (48)$$

So the J_{MN} is a kind of gauge charge.

References

- [1] A Ashtekar *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986):2244; *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987):1587.
- [2] A.Ashtekar *New Perspectives in Canonical Gravity* (Lecture Notes, 1988, Naples:Bibliopolis).
- [3] T. Jacobson & L.Smolin *Phys. Lett B* **196**(1987):39.
- [4] J. Samuel *Pramana J Phys.* **28** (1987):L429.
- [5] T. Jacobson *Class. Quan. Grav.***5** (1988):923.
- [6] N.N. Gorobey & A.S. Lukyanenko *Class. Quan. Grav.* **7** (1990):67.
- [7] S.S. Feng & Y.S. Duan *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **27**(8)(1995):887.
- [8] S.S. Feng & Y.S. Duan *Comm. Theor. Phys.* **25**(1996):485.
- [9] Y.S. Duan & S.S. Feng *Comm. Theor. Phys.* **25** (1996):99.
- [10] S.S. Feng & H.S. Zong *Inter. J. Theor.Phys.* **35** (1996):267. S.S. Feng & Y.S. Duan *Grav. & Cos.* **1** (1995):319.
- [11] S.S. Feng *Nucl. Phys.* **B 468**(1996):163.
- [12] Y.S. Duan & J.Y. Zhang *Acta. Phys. Sini* **19** (1963):589.
- [13] S. Weinberg *The Quantum Theory of Field* Vol. I (Cambridge University Press, 1995)
- [14] Y.B. Dai *Gauge Theory of Interactions* in Chinese (Science Publishers 1987).